

Uncovering Linguistic Bias: A Critical Analysis of Gendered Language in the English Language

Dharmahutama Handoyo CK¹, Darmahusni², Siti Drivoka Sulistyaningrum³

¹²³Magister Program of English Language Education, State University of Jakarta, Indonesia

*Corresponding author:

E-mail: dharmahutamawork@gmail.com

Abstract:

The study comprehensively analyses gendered language in English and explores its implications for perpetuating linguistic bias. By examining various linguistic features, including grammar, vocabulary, and discourse patterns, this study aims to unveil the subtle biases embedded within the language and shed light on the impact of such biases on social attitudes and perceptions. Through a critical lens, the article explores the historical roots of gendered language, its manifestation in contemporary society, and its consequences for gender equality and inclusivity. Furthermore, this paper discusses potential strategies for mitigating linguistic bias and promoting inclusive language practises. The findings and insights presented here provide a foundation for future research and offer actionable recommendations for individuals, educators, and policymakers to foster more honest communication.

Keywords: gendered language, linguistic bias, English language, social attitudes, gender equality, inclusivity, language practises

Introduction

Language plays a fundamental role in shaping our perceptions, beliefs Smith et al.,(1994) and social interactions, reflecting and influencing societal norms, power dynamics, and cultural values. Gendered language, which assigns different roles, expectations, and attributes based on gender, often reinforces stereotypes and contributes to gender inequality. As a widely spoken language, English is not exempt from these biases. Therefore, it is crucial to critically examine the gendered language in English, uncover embedded biases, and understand their implications for social dynamics and equality. According to Harrigan & Lucic (1988), language's role in shaping perceptions, beliefs, and social interactions is closely intertwined with the issue of gender bias. As mentioned earlier,

gendered language assigns distinct roles, expectations, and attributes to individuals based on their gender, thereby reinforcing stereotypes and contributing to gender inequality. This bias is not exclusive to any particular language, including English, which is spoken widely worldwide. Therefore, it becomes imperative to analyse the gendered language prevalent in English, uncover the embedded biases within it, and comprehend the far-reaching implications these biases have on social dynamics and the pursuit of equality. By addressing and challenging gender bias in language, we can take meaningful steps towards creating a more inclusive and equitable society.

Gender bias in language has been a topic of substantial debate and scrutiny over the years. According to Gaddes (2018), gender bias, or sexism, refers to prejudice, stereotyping, and discriminatory attitudes based on gender or sex. It is a systematic and pervasive issue that affects various aspects of society, including education, employment, healthcare, and social interactions. According to Jones and Brown (2020), gender bias can be explicit or implicit, perpetuating stereotypes and limiting individuals' full potential and agency based on gender. This bias can have detrimental effects on individuals and contribute to perpetuating gender inequalities. To address 'gender bias,' one important step is to modify the use of gendered language. By shifting away from gendered language, we can create a more inclusive and equitable environment that promotes equality and respect for all individuals, regardless of gender.

Using gendered language has been found to perpetuate societal stereotypes and reinforce inequalities between genders. According to the study by Bates (2019), the pervasive use of gendered language in English reinforces and perpetuates gender stereotypes. They argue that the language we use not only reflects but also shapes our understanding of gender roles and expectations. Bates (2019) indicates that gendered language tends to associate certain qualities and characteristics with specific genders, thereby limiting the scope of possibilities and reinforcing traditional gender norms. For instance, words like "strong" and "ambitious" are often associated with masculinity, while words like "caring" and "nurturing" are associated with femininity. Such associations can have far-reaching implications for individuals' self-perception and societal expectations.

Moreover, the study highlights the subtle ways in which gendered language can marginalise individuals. By examining a corpus of written texts, the researchers found that

gendered language often favours the male gender as the default or norm while relegating female individuals to secondary or subordinate roles. This linguistic bias reinforces societal power imbalances and contributes to the perpetuation of gender inequalities. The researchers argue that recognising and challenging such biases in language is crucial for promoting gender equality and fostering inclusive social environments.

The primary objective of this study is to conduct a critical examination of gendered language in English. Specifically, the research aims to:

- a) Identify and analyse various linguistic features within English that exhibit gender bias, such as pronouns, vocabulary, and discourse patterns.
- b) Investigate the historical roots and cultural influences that have shaped the development and persistence of gendered language in English.
- c) Examine the social impact of gendered language, including its influence on attitudes, perceptions, and reinforcing gender stereotypes.
- d) Explore the implications of gendered language for achieving gender equality and inclusivity in society.
- e) Propose strategies and recommendations for promoting inclusive language practises and mitigating linguistic bias in English.

The implications of gendered language reach beyond individual perceptions and societal norms. The study also addresses the impact of linguistic bias on professional settings, particularly in the workplace. The research indicates that gendered language can influence hiring decisions, performance evaluations, and career advancement opportunities. Terms like "bossy" and "aggressive" are more likely to be used to describe assertive women, while their male counterparts are often praised as "strong" and "decisive." This discrepancy can hinder women's progress in traditionally male-dominated fields and perpetuate the glass ceiling effect. The study underscores the need for organisations to adopt inclusive language policies and foster environments that discourage linguistic bias.

In conclusion, the expert study "Unveiling Linguistic Bias: A Critical Examination of Gendered Language in English" provides significant insights into the prevalence and impact of gendered language in shaping our perceptions and reinforcing societal norms. The research highlights how language is crucial in perpetuating gender stereotypes, marginalising individuals, and influencing professional opportunities. By acknowledging these biases and

striving for more inclusive language practises, we can take a step towards fostering a more equitable and just society. This essay will delve deeper into the study's key findings and their broader implications, ultimately contributing to a greater understanding of the importance of addressing linguistic bias in promoting gender equality.

Historical Analysis of Language and Gender

According to Lind (2022), the historical analysis of language and gender reveals that gendered language has been prevalent throughout various periods and cultures. In many languages, including English, grammatical structures and vocabulary have been shaped to reflect gender distinctions. This analysis examines the origins and evolution of gendered language in English, tracing its roots to ancient linguistic practises based on (Brashear et al., 1995). Historically, gendered language can be attributed to the influence of patriarchal societies, where gender roles were strictly defined and enforced. Different grammatical forms, such as gendered pronouns and nouns, emerged to classify individuals based on biological sex. These linguistic distinctions reinforced societal norms and power imbalances, with masculine forms often associated with authority and dominance, while feminine forms were linked to subordination and domesticity (Lakoff, 1973). The evolution of gendered language can be observed through shifts in linguistic norms and societal changes. Over time, certain gendered terms and expressions have become more fluid, reflecting societal shifts toward gender equality and inclusivity. However, remnants of gendered language persist, and their influence on social attitudes and perceptions cannot be overlooked (Cameron, 2012).

According to Menegatti & Rubini (2017), language is one of the most powerful means through which sexism and gender discrimination are perpetrated and reproduced. The content of gender stereotypes, according to which women should display communal/warmth traits, and men should display agentic/competence traits, is reflected in the lexical choices of everyday communication. Language features in English that exhibit gender bias include pronouns, vocabulary, and discourse patterns. For instance, pronouns such as "he" or "she" can be gender-biased (Cameron, 2012). Vocabulary can also be gender-biased when certain words are associated with specific genders (Lakoff, 1973). Discourse patterns can also be gender-biased when certain topics are associated with specific genders. Furthermore, SuFurthermoreTripp (1981) states that language is one of the most powerful means through

which sexism and gender discrimination are perpetrated and reproduced. Discourse patterns can also be gender-biased when certain topics are associated with specific genders.

According to a study by Campbell-Kibler (2022), gender bias in language is pervasive even among language experts. A study published in Springer based on Lindqvist (2019) found that gender-neutral third-person pronouns actively created to challenge the binary gender system can reduce male bias in language. Gender-neutral third-person pronouns actively created to challenge the binary gender system can reduce male bias in language.

In conclusion, gender bias in language is prevalent. It can be reduced by using gender-neutral words and phrases, communicating to all reference points, using collective pronouns, referring to genders equally and making references consistent, substituting neutral words for “man” and “woman” in job titles, using parallel language when referring to persons by sex, granting equal respect to both women and men, using “he or she” or alternating between “he” and “she”, omitting the pronoun or repeating the noun in place of the pronoun, using a plural antecedent for the pronoun, replacing the pronoun with an article, revising the sentence to use the pronoun “one” or “who”, and actively creating gender-neutral third-person pronouns.

Linguistic Features of Gendered Language

Linguistic features of gendered language refer to the specific linguistic cues, patterns, and structures used to express or signal gender in language. These features can vary across different languages and cultures. These features encompass aspects like pronouns and gender agreement, highlighting grammatical bias. According to Conrod (2020), gendered pronouns are a common feature of many languages and have been shown to impact gender.

Grammatical bias is a prominent feature of gendered language, particularly in the use of pronouns and gender agreement (Kuhn & Gabriel, 2014; Sato & Athanasopoulos, 2018; Urquhart-Cronish & Otto, 2019). In many languages, including English, pronouns are often gendered, with distinct forms for males and females. This linguistic distinction reinforces the binary understanding of gender and can perpetuate gender stereotypes and inequalities (DeFranza et al., 2020; Mihaljević, 2021). English traditionally employs he/him pronouns as generic or default, which could refer to marginalised individuals who do not identify within the gender binary. This bias is evident in sentences like "He is a doctor" or "Every student

must bring his textbook." Such usage assumes that gender-neutral or inclusive pronouns, such as they or them, are grammatically incorrect or inappropriate.

According to research by Boroditsky (2009), grammatical gender can shape how people interpret the world around them along gender lines. Feminists have long argued that sexist language can have real-world consequences for gender relations and the relative status of men and women. Grammatical gender is a way of classifying nouns that assigns them gender categories that are often unrelated to real-world qualities. It is a specific form of the noun class system in linguistics. Gender is a matching system, similar to verb conjugations in many languages, that matches the verb to the noun doing the action. Gender agreement refers to the agreement between grammatical elements, such as nouns, pronouns, and adjectives, based on the gender of the referent (Audring, 2008). This feature can further reinforce gender stereotypes by assigning specific attributes or qualities based on gender. For example, words like "strong," "assertive," or "emotional" may be associated with masculinity or femininity, perpetuating rigid gender norms.

Furthermore, according to Reifegerste (2019), gendered language manifests in the vocabulary used to describe individuals and their societal roles. Certain words and expressions are associated with specific genders, reinforcing stereotypes and unequal power dynamics. For instance, terms like "businessman" or "stewardess" suggest gender-specific occupations and can limit individuals' career choices based on their gender identity. Vocabulary can also perpetuate gender stereotypes by assigning specific characteristics or traits to individuals based on gender (Reifegerste, 2019). Words like "bossy" or "nurturing" may be associated with women or men, respectively, reinforcing societal expectations and limiting individuals' self-expression and potential.

Discourse patterns encompass how language is used in social interactions and communication. Gendered language influences discourse patterns and reflects societal power dynamics (Bogetic, 2023; Machin et al., 2016). Men and women may be assigned different communication styles, with men encouraged to be assertive and authoritative while women are expected to be more nurturing and accommodating. Power imbalances can be reinforced through language, with men often dominating conversations, interrupting others, and receiving more attention and validation. Conversely, women may experience marginalisation, being interrupted or talked over, and having their contributions devalued. Discourse patterns

also contribute to silencing gender identities that do not conform to the binary understanding of gender. Non-binary individuals or those who identify outside the traditional gender categories may face challenges finding inclusive and affirming language that accurately represents their identities.

Recognising and challenging these linguistic features of gendered language is essential for promoting more inclusive and equitable communication. By examining and deconstructing these biases, individuals and society can work towards creating language practises that embrace diversity, challenge stereotypes, and promote equal opportunities for all genders.

Social Impact of Gendered Language

Gendered language classifies objects, people, and concepts as masculine or feminine, often based on arbitrary criteria rather than biological sex. Gendered language can have a social impact by influencing how people perceive themselves and others and reinforcing or challenging gender stereotypes and norms.

According to a research project by AbdAlla (2021; Bigler & Leaper, 2015; Davis & Reynolds, 2018), gendered languages are associated with worse labour market participation rates for women and more regressive gender norms. The researchers found that 38 percent of the world's population speaks a gendered language as their native tongue, and that in these countries, women tend to face more barriers to equal participation in society. Another study by Menegatti and Rubini (Menegatti & Rubini, 2017) argues that language is one of the most powerful ways sexism and gender discrimination are perpetrated and reproduced. They claim that the content of gender stereotypes, according to which women should display communal or warmth traits and men should display agentic or competence traits, is reflected in the lexical choices of everyday communication. Consequently, language subtly reproduces the societal asymmetries of status and power in favour of men, which are attached to the corresponding social roles (Mihaljević, 2021). Moreover, some researchers suggest that using gender-neutral or gender-fair language can have positive effects on reducing gender bias and promoting gender equality. For instance, research conducted by Erdocia and Kellert (2022) estimated that gender-neutral languages are not just progressive but also improve a positive attitude towards all genders. It reduces gender biases, and this small shift can change

social behaviours to a huge extent (Bilaniuk, 2003). To examine the social impact of gendered language, we can look at how it affects three aspects (Hellinger & Bußmann, 2015; Hyde, 2019; Kellert, 2022; Sczesny et al., 2016; Stahlberg et al., 2011):

- 1) Attitudes: Gendered language can shape people's attitudes towards themselves and others based on gender. For example, using masculine generics (such as "he" or "mankind") to refer to all people can make women feel excluded or less important. On the other hand, using gender-neutral or gender-fair language (such as "they" or "humankind") can promote a more inclusive and egalitarian attitude.
- 2) Perceptions: Gendered language can influence how people perceive different genders' characteristics, abilities, and roles. For example, using gender-marked terms (such as "female doctor" or "male nurse") to describe occupations can imply that some professions are more suitable or typical for one gender than another. This can lead to biased evaluations and expectations of people's performance based on their gender. On the other hand, using gender-neutral terms (such as "doctor" or "nurse") can reduce the salience of gender and focus on the individual's qualifications and skills.
- 3) Stereotypes: Gendered language can reinforce or challenge existing stereotypes about different genders. For example, using gender-specific adjectives (such as "strong" for men and "kind" for women) to describe personality traits can reinforce the stereotype that men are more agentic and women are more communal. This can limit people's self-expression and potential by making them conform to the social norms of their gender. On the other hand, using gender-balanced adjectives (such as "strong and kind" for both men and women) can challenge the stereotype and acknowledge the diversity and complexity of human beings.

Therefore, gendered language can have a significant social impact by shaping people's attitudes, perceptions, and stereotypes about themselves and others based on gender. By being aware of the linguistic choices we make, we can use language as a tool to promote gender equality and respect.

In conclusion, gendered language can significantly impact how people think and act about gender issues. Therefore, we must be aware of our linguistic choices and how they affect ourselves and others.

Implications for Gender Equality

One important aspect of achieving gender equality is the use of non-gender-biased language. Gendered language can have profound implications for gender equality. According to Jennifer L. Prewitt-Freilino, T. Andrew Caswell, and Emmi K. Laakso (2012), feminists have long argued that sexist language can have real-world consequences for gender relations and the relative status of men and women. Gendered language has profound implications for gender equality. It reflects and perpetuates unequal power dynamics and reinforces social norms and expectations associated with gender. By assigning different attributes, roles, and capabilities based on gender, language can contribute to the marginalisation and discrimination of individuals, particularly women and gender minorities.

Gendered language can also impact the perception and treatment of individuals in various social contexts, including education, employment, and interpersonal relationships. According to Handoyo Puji Widodo and Tariq Elyas (2020), any educational practises and artefacts are ideologically and institutionally gender-laden. Biassed language can influence decision-making processes, evaluations, and opportunities, resulting in unequal access to resources, leadership positions, and social recognition. Addressing gendered language is crucial for advancing gender equality. According to Jennifer L. Prewitt-Freilino, T. Andrew Caswell, and Emmi K. Laakso (2012), feminists have long argued that sexist language can have real-world consequences for gender relations and the relative status of men and women. Promoting inclusive and non-discriminatory language practises can help challenge stereotypes, promote diversity and inclusivity, and create more equitable social environments. Recognising and actively working to eliminate gender bias in language is vital to achieving gender equality and fostering a more inclusive society (Menegatti & Rubini, 2017).

Strategies for Promoting Inclusive Language Practises

One strategy for promoting inclusive language practises is language reform, which involves actively challenging and changing gendered language structures. This can include adopting gender-neutral pronouns, such as they or them, as an alternative to gender-specific pronouns. Language reform can also involve the creation of neologisms, introducing new terms or expressions that are inclusive and reflect the diversity of gender identities. Using exclusionary language is not just about offending others; research has clarified its harmful

effects. For example, gender-loaded language reinforces inaccurate assumptions about the roles men and women should occupy—and can successfully reach—in the workplace. A recent study conducted by Frans Laka Lazar (2020) demonstrated a distinct correlation between the utilisation of gendered language and the perpetuation of gender role narratives. Additionally, the study suggested that unintentional adherence to negative stereotypes may have an impact on the choice of such language.

Education plays a crucial role in promoting inclusive language practises. Integrating gender and language awareness into school curricula and educational materials can help foster understanding and critical thinking about language and its impact on gender equality. Educators can teach students about the biases and consequences of gendered language, encouraging them to use inclusive language in their communication. The goal of an inclusive classroom is to provide all students with an appropriate learning environment to allow them to reach their potential. Every student can reach their full potential when given the opportunity, resources, and teaching that suit their needs (Lintangsari & Emaliana, 2020; Malebese et al., 2019). Workshops, seminars, and training programmes can be conducted to raise awareness among professionals, such as teachers, journalists, and policymakers, about the importance of inclusive language. These initiatives can provide practical guidance on using gender-neutral or gender-inclusive language and promote the adoption of inclusive language policies in educational and professional settings.

Media and popular culture have a significant influence on language and societal norms. Promoting inclusive language practises through media representation and storytelling can help challenge gender stereotypes and promote more inclusive attitudes and behaviours.

Media outlets can actively use inclusive language in their reporting and programming, avoiding gendered assumptions or stereotypes. Using exclusionary language is not just about offending others; research has clarified its harmful effects. To create a truly inclusive culture, you must look hard at how people in all areas of your company use language (Larrazet & Rigoni 2014). This statement highlights the importance of examining language use in all areas of an organisation, including media and popular culture, to promote inclusivity. Popular culture, including movies, TV shows, and music, can also contribute to normalising inclusive language and challenging traditional gender roles.

Additionally, social media platforms and online communities provide spaces for promoting inclusive language practises. Encouraging and supporting individuals and groups advocating inclusive language can foster a broader movement towards linguistic inclusivity. Brenda L. Montgomery, a professor at Michigan State University, wrote an article about how digital platforms, including blogs and social media such as Twitter, offer emergent paths for scientists to proactively build supportive communities, even where structural diversity or numerical representation of diverse groups remains low. These platforms can be used for community building, proactive mentoring, advocacy, and more customary uses for supporting the scholarly success of diverse individuals, including dissemination and accessible discussions of research findings (Montgomery, 2018). Another source states that leveraging digital technologies for social inclusion is important. Successive lockdowns and confinement measures put in place by governments to curb the spread of COVID-19 are accelerating the pace of digital transformation as information and communications technology (ICTs) are used to sustain daily lives and support business continuity (Deganis et al., 2021). By combining these strategies and promoting inclusive language practises, individuals, educators, media professionals, and policymakers can create a more inclusive and equitable society where language reflects and respects all individuals' diverse identities and experiences.

Conclusion

This critical examination of gendered language in English has shed light on the linguistic biases embedded within the language and their implications for social dynamics and equality. The analysis of linguistic features, including pronouns, gender agreement, vocabulary, and discourse patterns, has revealed how language can reinforce gender stereotypes, perpetuate power imbalances, and limit opportunities for individuals based on their gender identity.

The historical analysis has shown that gendered language has deep roots, influenced by patriarchal societies and cultural norms. At the same time, there have been shifts towards more inclusive language practises, but remnants of gendered language persist, necessitating further examination and action.

The social impact of gendered language has been explored, highlighting its role in shaping perceptions of gender, reinforcing stereotypes, and impacting gender equality.

Language plays a significant role in how individuals perceive themselves and others, and biased language can contribute to unequal power dynamics and discrimination.

Implications and Recommendations for Future Research

The findings of this study have several implications and offer directions for future research. It is essential to continue examining and raising awareness of gendered language and its impact on society. Further research can explore how gendered language influences perceptions, attitudes, and behaviour in various contexts, such as education, employment, and interpersonal interactions.

In terms of recommendations, promoting inclusive language practises should be a priority. Language reform efforts can focus on adopting gender-neutral pronouns, creating inclusive terminology, and challenging gender stereotypes embedded in vocabulary. Education and awareness programmes can be implemented at various levels, from schools to workplaces, to promote understanding and encourage the use of inclusive language.

Future research can also explore the role of media and popular culture in shaping language and attitudes. Investigating the portrayal of gender and language in media and the impact on societal perceptions can provide valuable insights into fostering more inclusive representations and narratives.

Furthermore, studying the experiences and perspectives of marginalised groups, including non-binary individuals, transgender individuals, and gender minorities, can deepen our understanding of the impact of gendered language on their lives and identities. Examining the intersectionality of language and other social categories, such as race, class, and sexuality, can contribute to a more comprehensive understanding of linguistic bias and its implications.

In conclusion, addressing linguistic bias in gendered language is crucial for achieving gender equality and fostering inclusive societies. The findings and recommendations of this study provide a foundation for further research and offer actionable steps towards promoting more equitable communication practises and challenging societal norms that perpetuate linguistic bias. By working towards linguistic inclusivity, we can create a more just and inclusive world for all individuals, regardless of gender identity.

References

- Abdalla, M. (2021). Gendered Language: A Study of Sociolinguistic Theories and Approaches. *Asian ESP Journal*, 17(3).
- Audring, J. (2008). Gender assignment and gender agreement: Evidence from pronominal gender languages. *Morphology*, 18(2). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11525-009-9124-y>
- Bates, E. A., Klement, K. R., Kaye, L. K., & Pennington, C. R. (2019). The Impact of Gendered Stereotypes on Perceptions of Violence: A Commentary. *Sex Roles*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11199-019-01029-9>
- Bigler, R. S., & Leaper, C. (2015). Gendered Language. *Policy Insights from the Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 2(1). <https://doi.org/10.1177/2372732215600452>
- Bilaniuk, L. (2003). Gender, language attitudes, and language status in Ukraine. In *Language in Society* (Vol. 32, Issue 1). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0047404503321037>
- Bogetic, K. (2023). Language, gender, and political symbolics: Insights from citizen digital discourses on gender-sensitive language in Serbia. *Journal of Sociolinguistics*, 27(2). <https://doi.org/10.1111/josl.12591>
- Boroditsky, L. (2009). How Does Our Language Shape the Way We Think? *Scientific American*, 304(2).
- Brashear, R. J., Hall, H. J., Schur, J. D., & Pan, A. A. (1995). Detection of antibodies to Trypanosoma cruzi among blood donors in the southwestern and western United States. II. Evaluation of a supplemental enzyme immunoassay and radioimmunoprecipitation assay for confirmation of seroreactivity. *Transfusion*, 35(3), 219–225. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1537-2995.1995.35395184278.x>
- Cameron, D. (2012). Theoretical Debates in Feminist Linguistics: Questions of Sex and Gender. In *Gender and Discourse*. <https://doi.org/10.4135/9781446250204.n2>
- Campbell-Kibler, K. (2022). Study finds gender bias in language prevalent, even for language experts. *Rutgers University Education*, 1–4. <https://doi.org/doi:10.1353/lan.2022.0007>.
- Conrod, K. (2020). Pronouns and Gender in Language. In *The Oxford Handbook of Language and Sexuality*. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780190212926.013.63>
- Davis, L., & Reynolds, M. (2018). Gendered language and the educational gender gap. *Economics Letters*, 168. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.econlet.2018.04.006>
- DeFranza, D., Mishra, H., & Mishra, A. (2020). How language shapes prejudice against women: An examination across 45 world languages. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 119(1). <https://doi.org/10.1037/pspa0000188>
- Deganis, I., Zohouri Haghian, P., & Tagashira, M. (2021). *Leveraging Digital Technologies for Social Inclusion*. Policy Brief No. 92. 92, 1–6.
- Erdocia, I. (2022). Participation and deliberation in language policy: the case of gender-neutral language. *Current Issues in Language Planning*, 23(4). <https://doi.org/10.1080/14664208.2021.2005385>
- Frans Laka Lazar. (2020). THE IMPORTANCE OF INCLUSIVE EDUCATION FOR CHILD WITH SPECIAL NEEDS. *Jurnal Pendidikan Dan Kebudayaan Missio*, 12(2). <https://doi.org/10.36928/jpkm.v12i2.512>

- Gaddes, R., Jacobson, Z., Montgomery, S., & Moore, C. (2018). *Conscious and Unconscious Gender Bias*. December.
- Harrigan, J. A., & Lucic, K. S. (1988). Attitudes about gender bias in language: A reevaluation. *Sex Roles*, 19(3–4). <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00290150>
- Hellinger, M., & Bußmann, H. (2015). *Gender across languages: The linguistic representation of women and men*. <https://doi.org/10.1075/impact.36.01hel>
- Hyde, J. S. (2019). How Large Are Cognitive Gender Differences? In *Feminist Research Methods*. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780429035302-10>
- Kellert, O. (2022). Gender Neutral Language in (Greater) Buenos Aires, (Greater) La Plata, and Córdoba: An Analysis of Social Context Information Using Textual and Temporal Features. *Frontiers in Sociology*, 7. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fsoc.2022.805716>
- Kuhn, E. A., & Gabriel, U. (2014). Actual and Potential Gender-Fair Language Use: The Role of Language Competence and the Motivation to Use Accurate Language. *Journal of Language and Social Psychology*, 33(2). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0261927X13504297>
- Lakoff, R. (1973). Language and woman's place. *Language in Society*, 2(1). <https://doi.org/10.1017/s0047404500000051>
- Larrazet, C., & Rigoni, I. (2014). Media and Diversity: A Century-Long Perspective on an Enlarged and Internationalized Field of Research. *InMedia*, 5. <https://doi.org/10.4000/inmedia.747>
- Lind, M. (2022). Liminality, Transdifference, and Gender: Linguistic Practices Beyond the Gender Binary. *Zeitschrift Fur Literaturwissenschaft Und Linguistik*, 52(4). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41244-022-00272-0>
- Lindqvist, A., Renström, E. A., & Gustafsson Sendén, M. (2019). Reducing a Male Bias in Language? Establishing the Efficiency of Three Different Gender-Fair Language Strategies. *Sex Roles*, 81(1–2). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11199-018-0974-9>
- Lintangsari, A. P., & Emaliana, I. (2020). Inclusive education services for the blind: Values, roles, and challenges of university EFL teachers. *International Journal of Evaluation and Research in Education*, 9(2). <https://doi.org/10.11591/ijere.v9i2.20436>
- Machin, D., Caldas-Coulthard, C. R., & Milani, T. M. (2016). Doing critical multimodality in research on gender, language and discourse. In *Gender and Language* (Vol. 10, Issue 3). <https://doi.org/10.1558/genl.v10i3.32037>
- Malebese, M. L., Tlali, M. F., & Mahlomaholo, S. (2019). A socially inclusive teaching strategy for fourth grade english (Second) language learners in a South African school. *South African Journal of Childhood Education*, 9(1). <https://doi.org/10.4102/sajce.v9i1.503>
- Menegatti, M., & Rubini, M. (2017). Gender Bias and Sexism in Language. In *Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Communication*. <https://doi.org/10.1093/acrefore/9780190228613.013.470>
- Mihaljević, M. (2021). Male and Female in Croatian Language and Lexicography – Stereotypes and Language Discrimination. *Rasprave Instituta Za Hrvatski Jezik i Jezikoslovlje*, 47(2). <https://doi.org/10.31724/rihjj.47.2.14>
- Montgomery, B. L. (2018). Building and Sustaining Diverse Functioning Networks Using

- Social Media and Digital Platforms to Improve Diversity and Inclusivity. *Frontiers in Digital Humanities*, 5. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fdigh.2018.00022>
- Prewitt-Freilino, J. L., Caswell, T. A., & Laakso, E. K. (2012). The Gendering of Language: A Comparison of Gender Equality in Countries with Gendered, Natural Gender, and Genderless Languages. *Sex Roles*, 66(3–4). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11199-011-0083-5>
- Reifegerste, D. (2019). Gender-sensitive health communication. *Public Health Forum*, 27(2). <https://doi.org/10.1515/pubhef-2019-0009>
- Sato, S., & Athanasopoulos, P. (2018). Grammatical gender affects gender perception: Evidence for the structural-feedback hypothesis. *Cognition*, 176. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cognition.2018.03.014>
- Sczesny, S., Formanowicz, M., & Moser, F. (2016). Can Gender-Fair Language Reduce Gender Stereotyping and Discrimination? In *Frontiers in Psychology* (Vol. 7). <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2016.00025>
- Smith, M. B., Hermans, H. J. M., & Kempen, H. J. G. (1994). The Dialogical Self: Meaning as Movement. *The American Journal of Psychology*, 107(4), 623. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1423005>
- Stahlberg, D., Braun, F., Irmen, L., & Sczesny, S. (2011). Representation of the sexes in language. In *Social Communication*. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203837702>
- Urquhart-Cronish, M., & Otto, S. P. (2019). Gender and language use in scientific grant writing. *Facets*, 2019(4). <https://doi.org/10.1139/facets-2018-0039>
- Widodo, H. P., & Elyas, T. (2020). Introduction to Gender in Language Education. In *Sexuality and Culture* (Vol. 24, Issue 4). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12119-020-09753-1>